Common Ground

NASBE

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
STATE BOARDS OF EDUCATION

Education & the Military
Meeting the Needs of Students
Study Group on Education and the Military

State Board Members*

Bradley Bryant, Chair – Georgia
C.B. Akins – Kentucky
Laurel Brown – Utah
Maud Dahme – New Jersey
David Dennis – Kansas
Kathy Dietz – New Jersey
T. Willard Fair – Florida
Cliff Ferry – Nevada
Phyllis Bunker Frank – Washington
Dannie Greene – Ohio
Priscilla Haden – West Virginia
William Harrison – North Carolina
Susan Haverkos – Ohio
Buck Hilliard – Georgia
Theresa Hopkins-Staten – Connecticut
Peggy Littleton – Colorado
Alice Mahony – Arkansas
Dana Mann-Tavegia – Wyoming
Randy McKinney – Alabama
Steven Pound – Maine
Leslie Shepherd – Oregon
Patricia Timm – Nebraska
James Wilson – Delaware

*All were members of their respective state boards of education at the time of the Study Group meetings with the exception of Maud Dahme, who is a past president of NASBE, and Buck Hilliard, who is Executive Director of the Georgia State Board of Education.

Ex Officio Members

Kelly Carter, United Solutions and Services (US2), LLC
John Myers, U.S. Army Accessions Command

Presenters to the Study Group

January 2010

Stephen DeWitt, Association for Career and Technical Education
Karen Elzey, U.S. Chamber of Commerce Institute for a Competitive Workforce

Adam Green, West Virginia GEAR UP and P-20 Initiatives
Ted Hagert, ASVAB Career Exploration Program
David Hawkins, National Association for College Admission Counseling
Dee Hopkins, West Virginia University
Hugh Price, Princeton University, Brookings Institute
Bror Saxberg, Kaplan, Inc.

March 2010

MSgt. George Anderson, U.S. Air Force (ret.), New Jersey Youth ChalleNGe Academy
Col. James Armstrong, U.S. Army (ret.) Broward County (Florida) JROTC
Tommy Baker, U.S. Army Recruiting Command, Beckley Recruiting Battalion

Roy Haliburton, Virginia Department of Correctional Education
Lt. Col. Kim Harrell, U.S. Army (ret.), Chicago Public Schools
Joe Padilla, National Guard Youth ChalleNGe

Maj. Wendell Quash, U.S. Army (ret.), John H. Smyth High School (Hanover, VA), Department of Correctional Education
Donna Rice, JROTC Directorate, U.S. Army Cadet Command
Col. Kevin Shwedo, U.S. Army
Col. John J. Winterrmantel, U.S. Army (ret.), Delaware Military Academy

June 2010

Tonia Heffner, TAPAS
Luis Garcia, Maryland Freestate Youth ChalleNGe
Marlene Heyman, Maryland Freestate Youth ChalleNGe
Ryan Holly-Battle, Maryland Freestate Youth ChalleNGe
Naomi Verdougle, March2Success
Zollie Stevenson, Jr., U.S. Department of Education
Charlie Toulmin, Nellie Mae Education Foundation

Bi Vuong, Data Quality Campaign

NASBE Staff

Yen Chau, Director of Research
Steve Berlin, Senior Communications Manager
Darin Simmons, Senior Project Associate
David Kysilko, Director of Publications

Cover design: Lori Olson, US2

Copyright © 2010 National Association of State Boards of Education. All rights reserved.

Additional copies of this report are available for $16 each plus 10% for shipping and handling ($4.50 minimum) from NASBE at 2121 Crystal Drive, Suite 350, Arlington, VA 22202. Call 800-220-5183 for more information or order online at www.nasbe.org. Volume discounts available.

For more information about NASBE’s partnership with the U.S. Army, contact Dr. Yen Chau at 703-684-4000 or yenc@nasbe.org.
We would like to thank the U.S. Army Accessions Command for its support of the activities and report of the NASBE Study Group on Education and the Military and for its ongoing partnership with state boards of education in the service of America’s youth. NASBE would also like to acknowledge the assistance of US2 in these efforts. However, the recommendations and substance of this report reflect the collective thinking of the study group members and do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Army or US2.
Key Report Takeaways

Common Ground: Education and the Military Meeting the Needs of Students

Introduction and Chapter 1: What Students—and the Country—Need and How to Address these Challenges

- The Army’s interest in education is not a new development, but rather, has been part of its culture for more than 200 years.

- Approximately 7 in every 10 Americans between the ages of 17 and 24 are ineligible to enter military service because they are either physically unfit (including being overweight or obese), have criminal records, or have not graduated from high school.

- One thing higher education, industry, and the military have in common: They all want secondary students to come out of high school knowing how to be critical thinkers, solve problems, and value collaboration. They also agree that most high school graduates lack critical thinking and problem-solving abilities and do not value teamwork.

- Military programs manage to succeed where others fail because of their attention to a holistic approach to student education and development.

Chapter 2. Best Practice Strategies from Education-Military Programs

- Programs provide a structured environment with personalized support that includes postsecondary planning services and offers multiple pathways to graduation.

- Programs use personalized learning plans for all students to identify areas of strengths and growth.

- The program curriculum is relevant and aligned to desired outcomes. Too many students do not see the relevance of their education on their future prospects. Almost half of all dropouts report that the reason they dropped out was because classes were not interesting.
• Programs create extended learning opportunities that are integrated into school curricula and complement learning.

• Programs use a systemic, data-driven decisionmaking process in all aspects of education, including student courses and activities and training and professional development for educators.

Chapter 3. Recommendations

• State boards should examine their policies and ensure that none hinder student participation in programs that help students become productive and responsible citizens.

• States should continue to develop comprehensive longitudinal data systems to better support students in their academic careers, as well as to help them prepare for postsecondary transitions. While progress has been made, states still face significant data system challenges.

• State boards should consider instituting cognitive and non-cognitive assessments, such as the Armed Service Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB), as diagnostic tools to assist students as they plan for their transition from secondary education to postsecondary life.

• State boards should continually seek out and promote evidence-supported education programs and strategies, including military-themed/generated programs, that help prepare students for any postsecondary pathway—work, college, or the military.

• State boards should promote the importance of developing strategic partnerships with stakeholders, such as business, military, and higher education, that can benefit the development of all students into productive and responsible citizens. In particular, the study group urges state and local education agencies to take advantage of possible educational partnerships with all branches of the armed services.

• State boards should leverage their authority over state school counseling mandates, guidance counselor certification requirements and school counseling programs so that counselors can better inform students and parents about evidence-supported education programs and strategies, including military-themed/generated programs, as well as help them create a postsecondary plan that examines all options: work, college, or the military.